

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,195	COLLINS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luz L. Alejandro	1763	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Luz L. Alejandro.*

(3) _____.

(2) *Robert Wallace.*

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 February 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 3-5, 7 and 13-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Davis et al. GB 2231197 (prior art of record) and Coulter et al., US 5,304,279.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: authorization to make an examiner's amendment was given by the attorney in order place the application in condition for allowance, in specific, claim 13 was amended to avoid a rejection over the above cited prior art .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required